

Ghostwriting and guest authorship barriers:

“ANNUAL CENTER REVIEW” Editorial board makes every effort to ensure transparency of information about the entities contributing to writing the article and their content, financial and percentage input. This is not only the manifestation of public decency but also of social responsibility. *Ghostwriting*[☒] and *guest authorship*^{☒☒} are examples violating the rule of transparency.

To counteract such unethical incidents, Editorial board requires from the Authors a written statement (available on the journal website).

Authors must also disclose contribution of co-authors, their affiliation and share in the publication (i.e. information on who is the author of the concept, assumptions, methods used while writing the manuscript). However, the responsibility for the reliability of the data lies on part of the Author submitting the paper.

“ANNUAL CENTER REVIEW” Editorial board informs that the incidents of *ghostwriting* and *guest authorship* will be exposed and reported to relevant units, i.e. institutions employing the Author, financing Author’s research, scientific societies and other units which the Editorial board finds appropriate to inform.

☒ *ghostwriting* polega na braku ujawnienia jako Autora osoby, która wniosła istotny wkład w powstanie publikacji lub przynajmniej wymienienia roli takiej osoby w powstaniu publikacji np. w podziękowaniach zamieszczonych w publikacji.
appears when someone made significant contribution to the publication without revealing their share as one of authors or without mentioning their role in the acknowledgements.

☒☒ *guest authorship* polega na wskazaniu osoby jako Autora/Współautora publikacji, pomimo że jego udział w przygotowaniu publikacji jest znikomy lub w ogóle nie miał miejsca.
appears when the contribution of the author is minimal or none and nevertheless they are the author or co-author of the publication